

Explicit formulas for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift and their applications

Hidenori Katsurada
Muroran Institute of Technology

Abstract

We give an explicit formula for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift. As an application we prove a certain algebraicity result for the values of twisted Rankin-Selberg series at integers of half-integral weight modular forms.

1 Introduction

It is an interesting problem to give an explicit formula for the Koecher-Maaß series of a Siegel modular form F for the symplectic group $Sp_n(\mathbf{Z})$, and several results have been obtained (cf. Böcherer [B], Ibukiyama and Katsurada [I-K1], [I-K2], [I-K3]). Such explicit formulas are not only interesting in its own right but also have some important applications in the theory of modular forms. For example, we refer to [B-S], [D-I], [Miz]. Now we consider a twist of such a Koecher-Maaß series by a Dirichlet character χ . As for this, in view of Saito [Sai1] for example, we can naturally consider the following Dirichlet series:

$$L^*(s, F, \chi) = \sum_T \frac{\chi(2^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \det T) c_F(T)}{e(T) (\det T)^s},$$

where T runs over a complete set of representatives of $SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of positive definite half-integral symmetric matrices of degree n , $c_F(T)$ is the T -th Fourier coefficient of F and $e(T) = \#\{U \in SL_n(\mathbf{Z}); T[U] = T\}$. We will sometimes call $L^*(s, F, \chi)$ the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the second kind.

On the other hand, Choie and Kohlen [C-K] introduced a different type of “twist”. For a positive integer N , let $SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z}) = \{U \in SL_n(\mathbf{Z}); U \equiv 1_n \pmod{N}\}$ and $e_N(T) = \#\{U \in SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z}); T[U] = T\}$. For a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{N}$, the Koecher-Maaß series $L(s, F, \chi)$ of F twisted by χ is defined to be

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_T \frac{\chi(\operatorname{tr}(T)) c_F(T)}{e_N(T) (\det T)^s},$$

where T runs over a complete set of representatives of $SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of positive definite half-integral symmetric matrices of degree n . In [C-K], Choie and Kohnen proved a meromorphy continuation of $L(s, F, \chi)$ to the whole s -plane and a functional equation (cf. Theorem 2.1). Moreover they got a result on the algebraicity of its special values (cf. Theorem 2.2.) We shall call $L(s, F, \chi)$ the twist of the first kind.

In this paper we give explicit formulas for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first and second kinds associated with the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift and apply them to the study of the special values of the Rankin-Selberg series for half-integral weight modular forms. We explain our main results more precisely. Let k and n be positive even integers such that $n \geq 4$ and $2k - n \geq 12$. For a cuspidal Hecke eigenform h in the Kohnen plus subspace of weight $k - n/1 + 1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$, let $I_n(h)$ be the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift of h to the space of cusp forms of weight k for $Sp_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Moreover let $S(h)$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight $2k - n$ for $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ corresponding to h under the Shimura correspondence, and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ be Cohen's Eisenstein series of weight $n/2 + 1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$. We then give explicit formulas for $L(s, I_n(h), \chi)$ and $L^*(s, I_n(h), \chi)$ in terms of the twisted Rankin-Selberg series $R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \eta)$ of h and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ and twisted Hecke's L -function $L(s, S(h), \eta')$ of $S(h)$, where η and η' are Dirichlet characters related with χ . It is relatively easy to get an explicit form of $L^*(s, I_n(h), \chi)$. In fact, by using the same argument as in Ibukiyama and Katsurada [I-K2], we can easily obtain its explicit formula (cf. Theorem 4.1). On the other hand, it seems nontrivial to get that of $L(s, I_n(h), \chi)$ (cf. Theorem 6.1), and we need some explicit formula for a certain algebraicity results on $R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \eta)$ at an integer $s = m$ (cf. Theorems 7.1 and 7.2), which were announced in [Ka]. We note that the algebraicity of the special values of such a Rankin-Selberg series at half-integers was investigated by Shimura [Sh3]. However there are few results on the algebraicity of such values at integers. As an attempt, Mizuno and the author [K-M] proved linear dependency of Rankin-Selberg L -values of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform belonging to Kohnen plus subspace of half integral weight and the Zagier's Eisenstein series of weight $3/2$. Our present result can be regarded as a generalization of our previous result.

Notation We denote by $\mathbf{e}(x) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}x)$ for a complex number x . For a commutative ring R , we denote by $M_{mn}(R)$ the set of (m, n) -matrices with entries in R . For an (m, n) -matrix X and an (m, m) -matrix A , we write $A[X] = {}^tXAX$, where tX denotes the transpose of X . Let a be an element of R . Then for an element X of $M_{mn}(R)$ we often use the same symbol X to denote the coset $X \bmod aM_{mn}(R)$. Put $GL_m(R) = \{A \in M_m(R) \mid \det A \in R^*\}$, and $SL_m(R) = \{A \in M_m(R) \mid \det A = 1\}$, where $\det A$ denotes the determinant of a square matrix A and R^* is the unit group of R . We denote by $S_n(R)$ the set of symmetric matrices of degree n with entries in R . In particular, if S is a subset of $S_n(\mathbf{R})$ with \mathbf{R} the field of real numbers, we denote by $S_{>0}$ (resp. $S_{\geq 0}$) the subset of S consisting of positive definite (resp. semi-positive definite)

matrices. The group $SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on the set $S_n(\mathbf{R})$ in the following way:

$$SL_n(\mathbf{Z}) \times S_n(\mathbf{R}) \ni (g, A) \longrightarrow {}^t gAg \in S_n(\mathbf{R}).$$

Let G be a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$. For a subset \mathcal{B} of $S_n(\mathbf{R})$ stable under the action of G we denote by \mathcal{B}/G the set of equivalence classes of \mathcal{B} with respect to G . We sometimes identify \mathcal{B}/G with a complete set of representatives of \mathcal{B}/G . Two symmetric matrices A and A' with entries in R are said to be equivalent with respect to G and write $A \sim_G A'$ if there is an element X of G such that $A' = A[X]$. Let \mathcal{L}_n denote the set of half-integral matrices of degree n over \mathbf{Z} , that is, \mathcal{L}_n is the set of symmetric matrices of degree n whose (i, j) -component belongs to \mathbf{Z} or $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ according as $i = j$ or not.

2 Twisted Koecher-Maaß series

Put $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} O_n & -1_n \\ 1_n & O_n \end{pmatrix}$, where 1_n and O_n denotes the unit matrix and the zero matrix of degree n , respectively. Furthermore, put

$$Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}) = \{M \in GL_{2n}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid J_n[M] = J_n\}.$$

Let l be an integer or a half-integer, and N a positive integer. Let $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N)$ be the congruence subgroup of $Sp_n(\mathbf{Z})$ consisting of matrices whose left lower $n \times n$ block are congruent to $O_n \pmod{N}$. Moreover let χ be a Dirichlet character mod N . We then denote by $\mathfrak{M}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ the space of modular forms of weight l and character χ for $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi$, and by $\mathfrak{S}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ consisting of cusp forms. If χ is the trivial character mod N , we simply write $\mathfrak{M}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ as $\mathfrak{M}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N))$ and $\mathfrak{S}_l(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N))$, respectively. Let k be a positive integer, and let $F(Z) \in \mathfrak{M}_k(Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}))$. Then $F(Z)$ has the Fourier expansion:

$$F(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n \geq 0}} c_F(T) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(TZ)),$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(X)$ denotes the trace of a matrix X . For $N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, put $SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z}) = \{U \in SL_n(\mathbf{Z}) \mid U \equiv 1_n \pmod{N}\}$, and for $T \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}$ put $e_N(T) = \#\{U \in SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid T[U] = T\}$. For a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{N}$ Let

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}/SL_{n,N}(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{\chi(\mathrm{tr}(T))c_F(T)}{e_N(T)(\det T)^s}$$

be the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first kind of F as in Section 1. The following two theorems are due to Choie and Kohlen [C-K].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F \in \mathfrak{S}_k(Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}))$, and χ a primitive character of conductor N . Put*

$$\gamma_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-ns} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi^{(i-1)/2} \Gamma(s - (i-1)/2),$$

and

$$\Lambda(s, F, \chi) = N^{2s} \tau(\chi)^{-1} \gamma_n(s) L(s, F, \chi) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of χ . Then $\Lambda(s, F, \chi)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole s -plane and has the following functional equation:

$$\Lambda(k - s, F, \chi) = (-1)^{nk/2} \chi(-1) \Lambda(s, F, \bar{\chi}).$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let F and χ be as above. Then there exists a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -vector space V_F in \mathbf{C} such that*

$$L(m, F, \chi) \pi^{-nm} \in V_F$$

for any primitive character χ and any integer m such that $(n + 1)/2 \leq m \leq k - (n + 1)/2$.

Now let

$$L^*(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}/SL_n(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{\chi(2^{2[n/2]} \det T) c_F(T)}{e(T) (\det T)^s}$$

be the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the second kind of F as in Section 1. We will discuss a relation between these two Dirichlet series in Section 5.

3 Review on the algebraicity of L-values of elliptic modular forms of integral and half-integral weight

In this section, we review on the special values of L functions of elliptic modular forms of integral and half-integral weights. For a modular form $g(z)$ of integral or half-integral weight for a certain congruence subgroup Γ of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, let $\mathbf{Q}(g)$ denote the field generated over \mathbf{Q} by all the Fourier coefficients of g , and for a Dirichlet character η let $\mathbf{Q}(\eta)$ denote the field generated over \mathbf{Q} by all the values of η .

First let

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_f(m) \mathbf{e}(mz)$$

be a normalized Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{E}_k(SL_2(\mathbf{Z}))$, and χ be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then let us define Hecke's L -function $L(s, f, \chi)$ of f twisted by χ as

$$L(s, f, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_f(m) \chi(m) m^{-s}.$$

Then we have the following result (cf. [Sh2]):

Proposition 3.1. *There exist complex numbers $u_{\pm}(f)$ uniquely determined up to $\mathbf{Q}(f)^{\times}$ multiple such that*

$$\frac{L(m, f, \chi)}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^m \tau(\chi) u_j(f)} \in \mathbf{Q}(f)\mathbf{Q}(\chi)$$

for any integer $0 < m \leq k-1$ and a primitive character χ , where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of χ , and $j = +$ or $-$ according as $(-1)^m \chi(-1) = 1$ or -1 .

Corollary. *Under the above notation and the assumption, we have*

$$L(m, f, \chi) \pi^{-m} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} u_j(f)$$

for any integer $0 < m \leq k-1$ and a primitive character χ .

We remark that we have $L(m, f, \chi) \neq 0$ if $m \neq k/2$, and $L(k/2, f, \chi) \neq 0$ for infinitely many χ .

Next let us consider the half-integral weight case. From now on we simply write $\Gamma_0^{(1)}(M)$ as $\Gamma_0(M)$. Let

$$h_1(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m) \mathbf{e}(mz)$$

be a Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{S}_{k_1+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$, and

$$h_2(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{h_2}(m) \mathbf{e}(mz)$$

be an element of $\mathfrak{M}_{k_2+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$. For a fundamental discriminant D let χ_D be the Kronecker character corresponding to D . Let χ be a primitive character mod N . Then we define

$$\tilde{R}(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = L(2s - k_1 - k_2 + 1, \omega) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m) c_{h_2}(m) \chi(m) m^{-s},$$

where $\omega(d) = \chi_{-4}^{k_1-k_2} \chi^2(d)$. We also define $R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ as

$$R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = L(2s - k_1 - k_2 + 1, \chi^2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m) c_{h_2}(m) \chi(m) m^{-s}.$$

Now let $S(h_1)$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{S}_{2k_1}(SL_2(\mathbf{Z}))$ corresponding to h_1 under the Shimura correspondence. Then the following result is due to Shimura [Sh3].

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $k_1 > k_2$. Under the above notation we have*

$$\frac{\tilde{R}(m+1/2, h_1, h_2, \chi)}{u_-(S(h_1)) \tau(\chi^2) \pi^{-k_2+1+2m} \sqrt{-1}} \in \mathbf{Q}(h_1)\mathbf{Q}(h_2)\mathbf{Q}(\chi)$$

for any integer $k_2 \leq m \leq k_1 - 1$ and a primitive character χ .

Proof. Let N be the conductor of χ . Put

$$h_{2\chi}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{h_2}(m)\chi(m)\mathbf{e}(mz).$$

Then $h_{2\chi}(z) \in \mathfrak{M}_{k_2+1/2}(4N^2, \chi^2)$. We can regard h_1 as an element of $\mathfrak{S}_{k_1+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4N^2))$. Then the assertion follows from [[Sh3], Theorem 2]. \square

Corollary. *Assume that $c_{h_1}(n), c_{h_2}(n) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then there exists a one-dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -vector space U_{h_1, h_2} in \mathbf{C} such that*

$$\widetilde{R}(m + 1/2, h_1, h_2, \chi)\pi^{-2m} \in U_{h_1, h_2}$$

for any integer $k_2 \leq m \leq k_1 - 1$ and a primitive character χ .

4 Explicit formulas for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the second kind of the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift

Throughout this section, we assume that n and k are even positive integers. Let h be a Hecke eigenform of weight $k - n/2 + 1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ belonging to the Kohnen plus space. Then h has the following Fourier expansion:

$$h(z) = \sum_e c_h(e)\mathbf{e}(ez),$$

where e runs over all positive integers such that $(-1)^{k-n/2}e \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. Let

$$S(h)(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{S(h)}(m)\mathbf{e}(mz)$$

be the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight $2k - n$ for $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ corresponding to h via the Shimura correspondence (cf. [Ko].) For a prime number p let β_p be a nonzero complex number such that $\beta_p + \beta_p^{-1} = p^{-k+n/2+1/2}c_{S(h)}(p)$. For a non-negative integers l and m , the Cohen function $H(l, m)$ is given by $H(l, m) = L_{-m}(1 - l)$. Here

$$= \begin{cases} L_D(s) & \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \zeta(2s - 1), & D = 0 \\ L(s, \chi_{D_K}) \sum_{a|f} \mu(a)\chi_{D_K}(a)a^{-s}\sigma_{1-2s}(f/a), & D \neq 0, D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0, & D \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \end{array} \right. \end{cases}$$

where the positive integer f is defined by $D = D_K f^2$ with the discriminant D_K of $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, μ is the Möbius function, and $\sigma_s(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^s$. Furthermore, for an even integer $l \geq 4$, we define the Cohen Eisenstein series $E_{l+1/2}(z)$ by

$$E_{l+1/2}(z) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} H(l, e) \mathbf{e}(ez).$$

It is known that $E_{l+1/2}(z)$ is a modular form of weight $l+1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ belonging to the Kohnen plus space.

For a prime number p let \mathbf{Q}_p and \mathbf{Z}_p be the field of p -adic numbers, and the ring of p -adic integers, respectively. We denote by ν_p the additive valuation on \mathbf{Q}_p normalized so that $\nu_p(p) = 1$, and by \mathbf{e}_p the continuous homomorphism from the additive group \mathbf{Q}_p to \mathbf{C}^\times such that $\mathbf{e}_p(a) = \mathbf{e}(a)$ for $a \in \mathbf{Q}$. For a p -adic number c put

$$\tilde{\xi}_p(c) = 1, -1 \text{ or } 0$$

according as $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{c}) = \mathbf{Q}_p$, $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{c})/\mathbf{Q}_p$ is quadratic unramified, or $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{c})/\mathbf{Q}_p$ is quadratic ramified. We note that $\tilde{\xi}_p(D) = \chi_D(p)$ for a fundamental discriminant D . For a non-degenerate half-integral matrix T over \mathbf{Z}_p , let

$$b_p(T, s) = \sum_{R \in S_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)/S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \mathbf{e}_p(\text{tr}(TR)) p^{-\nu_p(\mu_p(R))s}$$

be the local Siegel series, where $\mu_p(R) = [R\mathbf{Z}_p^n + \mathbf{Z}_p^n : \mathbf{Z}_p^n]$. Then there exists a polynomial $F_p(T, X)$ in X such that

$$b_p(T, s) = F_p(T, p^{-s})(1 - p^{-s})(1 - \xi_p(T)p^{n/2-s})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{2i-2s})$$

(cf. [Kil]), where $\xi_p(T) = \tilde{\xi}_p((-1)^{n/2} \det T)$. For a positive definite half integral matrix T of degree n write $(-1)^{n/2} \det(2T)$ as $(-1)^{n/2} \det(2T) = \mathfrak{d}_T \mathfrak{f}_T^2$ with \mathfrak{d}_T a fundamental discriminant and \mathfrak{f}_T a positive integer. We then put

$$c_{I_n(h)}(T) = c_h(|\mathfrak{d}_T|) \prod_p (p^{k-n/2-1/2} \beta_p)^{\nu_p(\mathfrak{f}_T)} F_p(T, p^{-(n+1)/2} \beta_p^{-1}).$$

We note that $c_{I_n(h)}(T)$ does not depend on the choice of β_p . Define a Fourier series $I_n(h)(Z)$ by

$$I_n(h)(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}} c_{I_n(h)}(T) \mathbf{e}(\text{tr}(TZ)).$$

In [I] Ikeda showed that $I_n(h)(Z)$ is a Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{S}_k(Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}))$ and its standard L -function $L(s, I_n(h), \text{St})$ is given by

$$L(s, I_n(h), \text{St}) = \zeta(s) \prod_{i=1}^n L(s + k - i, S(h)).$$

We call $I_n(h)$ the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift (D-I-I lift) of h .

Theorem 4.1. *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod N . Then we have*

$$L^*(s, F, \chi) = c_n R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s - 2j, S(h), \chi^2) \\ + d_n c_h(1) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j + 1, S(h), \chi^2),$$

where c_n and d_n are nonzero rational numbers depending only on n .

To prove Theorem 4.1, we reduce the problem to local computations. For $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ let $(a, b)_p$ the Hilbert symbol on \mathbf{Q}_p . Following Kitaoka [Ki2], we define the Hasse invariant $\varepsilon(A)$ of $A \in S_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)^\times$ by

$$\varepsilon(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i, a_j)_p$$

if A is equivalent to $a_1 \perp \cdots \perp a_n$ over \mathbf{Q}_p with some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$. For $T \in S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_e$, put $T^{(0)} = 2^{-1}T$, $F_p^{(0)}(T, X) = F_p(T^{(0)}, X)$, and so on. Then for non-degenerate symmetric matrices A of degree n with entries in \mathbf{Z}_p we define the local density $\alpha_p(A) = \alpha_p(A, A)$ representing A by A as

$$\alpha_p(A) = 2^{-1} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} p^{a(-n^2+n(n+1)/2)} \#\mathcal{A}_a(A, A),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_a(A, A) = \{X \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)/p^a M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid A[X] - B \in p^a S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_e\},$$

Furthermore put

$$M(A) = \sum_{A' \in \mathcal{G}(A)} \frac{1}{e(A')}$$

for a positive definite symmetric matrix A of degree n with entries in \mathbf{Z} , where $\mathcal{G}(A)$ denotes the set of $SL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes belonging to the genus of A . Then by Siegel's main theorem on the quadratic forms, we obtain

$$M(A) = \kappa_n 2^{2-n} \det A^{(n+1)/2} \prod_p \alpha_p(A)^{-1}$$

where $e_n = 1$ or 2 according as $n = 1$ or not, and $\kappa_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}(2i)$ (cf. Theorem 6.8.1 in [Ki2]). Put

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \{d_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_p \mid \nu_p(d_0) \leq 1\}$$

if p is an odd prime, and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{d_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_2 \mid d_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \text{ or } d_0/4 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \text{ or } \nu_2(d_0) = 3\}.$$

For $d \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ put

$$S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p, d) = \{T \in S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid (-1)^{n/2} \det T = p^{2i} d \pmod{\mathbf{Z}_p^{*\square}} \text{ with some } i \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

and $S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p, d)_x = S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p, d) \cap S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_x$ for $x = e$ or o . Put $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}^{(0)} = S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_e^\times$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}^{(0)}(d) = S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p, d) \cap \mathcal{L}_{n,p}^{(0)}$. Let $\iota_{n,p}$ be the constant function on $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}^\times$ taking the value 1, and $\varepsilon_{n,p}$ the function on $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}^\times$ assigning the Hasse invariant of A for $A \in \mathcal{L}_{n,p}^\times$. We sometimes drop the suffix and write $\iota_{n,p}$ as ι_p or ι and the others if there is no fear of confusion. From now on we sometimes write $\omega = \varepsilon^l$ with $l = 0$ or 1 according as $\omega = \iota$ or ε . For $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $\omega = \varepsilon^l$ with $l = 0, 1$, we define a formal power series $P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \omega, X, t)$ in t by

$$P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \omega, X, t) = \kappa(d_0, n, l)^{-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0)} \frac{\widetilde{F}_p^{(0)}(B, X)}{\alpha_p(B)} \omega(B) t^{\nu_p(\det B)},$$

where

$$\kappa(d_0, n, l) = \kappa(d_0, n, l)_p = \{(-1)^{n(n+2)/8} ((-1)^{n/2} 2, d_0)_2\}^{l\delta_{2,p}}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of fundamental discriminants, and for $l = \pm 1$, put

$$\mathcal{F}^{(l)} = \{d_0 \in \mathcal{F} \mid ld_0 > 0\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let the notation and the assumption be as above. Then for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(s, I_n(h)) &= \kappa_n 2^{ns+1-n} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{n/2}}} c_h(|d_0|) |d_0|^{n/4-k/2+1/4} \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \iota_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{n(n+2)/8} \\ &\times \left. \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{n/2}}} ((-1)^{n/2} 2, d_0)_2 c_h(|d_0|) |d_0|^{n/4-k/2+1/4} \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $T \in S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_{e>0}$. Then the T -th Fourier coefficient $c_{I_n(h)}(T)$ of $I_n(h)$ is uniquely determined by the genus to which T belongs, and, by definition, it can be expressed as

$$c_{I_n(h)}(T) = c_h(|\mathfrak{b}_T^{(0)}|) (\mathfrak{f}_T^{(0)})^{k-n/2-1/2} \prod_p \widetilde{F}^{(0)}(T, \alpha_p)$$

We also note that

$$(\mathfrak{f}_T^{(0)})^{k-n/2-1/2} = |\mathfrak{b}_T^{(0)}|^{-(k/2-n/4-1/4)} (\det T)^{(k/2-n/4-1/4)}$$

for $T \in S_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)_{\epsilon > 0}$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{T' \in \mathcal{G}(T)} \frac{c_{I_n(h)}(T')}{e(T')} = \det T^{k/2+n/4-1/4} |v_T^{(0)}|^{k/2-n/4-1/4} \prod_p \frac{\widetilde{F}_p^{(0)}(T, \alpha_p)}{\alpha_p(T)}.$$

Thus, similarly to [I-K1], Theorem 3.3, (1), and [I-K2], Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, I_n(h)) &= \kappa_n 2^{ns+1-n} \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} c_h(|d_0|) |d_0|^{n/4-k/2+1/4} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \iota_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{n(n+2)/8} ((-1)^{n/2} 2, d_0)_2 \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $\xi_0 = \widetilde{\xi}(d_0)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^{(0)}(d_0, \iota, X, t) &= \frac{(p^{-1}t)^{\nu_p(d_0)}}{\phi_{n/2-1}(p^{-2})(1-p^{-n/2}\xi_0)} \\ &\times \frac{(1+t^2p^{-n/2-3/2})(1+t^2p^{-n/2-5/2}\xi_0^2) - \xi_0 t^2 p^{-n/2-2}(X+X^{-1}+p^{1/2-n/2}+p^{-1/2+n/2})}{(1-p^{-2}Xt^2)(1-p^{-2}X^{-1}t^2) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1-t^2p^{-2i-1}X)(1-t^2p^{-2i-1}X^{-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_n^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon, X, t) = \frac{1}{\phi_{n/2-1}(p^{-2})(1-p^{-n/2}\xi_0)} \frac{\xi_0^2}{\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1-t^2p^{-2i}X)(1-t^2p^{-2i}X^{-1})}.$$

Proof. Put $H_k = \begin{pmatrix} O & 1_k \\ 1_k & O \end{pmatrix}$, and for $d \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ put

$$D = \{x \in M_{2k,n}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid \det(H_k[x]) \in dp^i \mathbf{Z}_p^{*\square} \text{ with some } i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

We then define $Z_{2k}(u, \varepsilon^l, d)$ as

$$Z_{2k}(u, \varepsilon^l, d) = \int_D \varepsilon^l(H_k[x]) |\det(H_k[x])|_p^{s-k} dx$$

with $u = p^{-s}$, where $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the normalized valuation on \mathbf{Q}_p , and dx is the measure on $M_{2k,n}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ normalized so that the volume of $M_{2k,n}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is 1. Moreover put

$$Z_{2k,e}(u, \varepsilon^l, d) = \frac{1}{2} (Z_{2k,n}(u, \varepsilon^l, d) + Z_{2k,n}(-u, \varepsilon^l, d)),$$

and

$$Z_{2k,o}(u, \varepsilon^l, d) = \frac{1}{2}(Z_{2k,n}(u, \varepsilon^l, d) - Z_{2k,n}(-u, \varepsilon^l, d)).$$

Then it is well known that

$$Z_{2k,x(d_0)}(u, \varepsilon^l, (-1)^{n/2} p^{-\nu_p(d_0)} d_0) = \phi_n(p^{-1}) \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0)} \frac{b_p(2^{-\delta_{2,p}} T, p^{-k})}{\alpha_p(T)} (p^k t)^{\nu_p(\det(T))}$$

for $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}_p$, where $x(d_0) = e$ or o according as $\nu_p(d_0)$ is even or odd. Recall that

$$b_p(2^{-\delta_{2,p}} T, p^{-k}) = \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k+2i})}{1 - \xi(2^{-\delta_{2,p}} T) p^{-k+n/2}} F_p^{(0)}(T, p^{-k})$$

and

$$F_p^{(0)}(T, p^{-k}) = p^{(-k/2+(n+1)/4)(\nu_p(\det T) - \nu_p(d_0))} \widetilde{F}_p^{(0)}(T, p^{-k+(n+1)/2}).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2k,x(d_0)}(u, \varepsilon^l, (-1)^{n/2} p^{-\nu_p(d_0)} d_0) &= \phi_n(p^{-1}) \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k+2i})}{1 - \xi(2^{-\delta_{2,p}} T) p^{-k+n/2}} \\ &\quad \times p^{(k/2-(n+1)/4)\nu_p(d_0)} P_n^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon^l, p^{-k+(n+1)/2}, up^{-k/2+(n+1)/4}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $T(d_0, \omega, X, t)$ denote the right-hand side of the formula for $\omega = \varepsilon^l$ ($l = 0, 1$) in the proposition. Then, by [[Sai2], Theorem 3.4 (2)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2k,x(d_0)}(u, \varepsilon^l, (-1)^{n/2} p^{-\nu_p(d_0)} d_0) &= \phi_n(p^{-1}) \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k+2i})}{1 - \xi(T) p^{-k+n/2}} \\ &\quad \times p^{(k/2-(n+1)/4)\nu_p(d_0)} T(d_0, \varepsilon^l, p^{-k+(n+1)/2}, up^{-k/2+(n+1)/4}). \end{aligned}$$

(Remark that there are misprints in [Sai2]; the $(q^{-1})_n$ on page 197, lines 9 and 15 should be $(q^{-1})_r$.) Hence we have

$$P_n^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon^l, p^{-k+(n+1)/2}, up^{-k/2+(n+1)/4}) = T(d_0, \varepsilon^l, p^{-k+(n+1)/2}, up^{-k/2+(n+1)/4})$$

for infinitely many positive integers k . Hence we have

$$P_n^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon^l, X, t) = T(d_0, \varepsilon^l, X, t).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1.

Put $\Omega = \{\omega_p\}$, and let $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{n/2})}$. Put

$$P(s, d_0, \Omega, \chi) = \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)).$$

Then by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(s, d_0, \{\iota_p\}, \chi) \\
&= |d_0|^{-s+k/2+n/4-3/4} \chi(d_0) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i) L(n/2, \chi_{d_0}) \prod_{i=0}^{n/2} L(2s+2i-n, S(h), \chi^2) \\
&\times L(2s-n+1, S(h), \chi^2) \prod_p \{(1+p^{-2s+k-1} \chi(p)^2)(1+\chi_{d_0}(p)^2 p^{-2s+2k-2} \chi(p)^2) \\
&\quad - \chi_{d_0}(p) p^{-2s+k-3/2} \chi(p)^2 \beta_p (1+p^{1/2-n/2} \beta_p^{-1})(1+p^{-1/2+n/2} \beta_p^{-1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $L(s, h)$ and $L(s, E_{n/2+1})$ can be expressed as

$$L(s, h) = L(2s, S(h)) \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} c(|d_0|) |d_0|^{-s} \prod_p (1 - \chi_{(-1)^{k-n/2} d_0}(p) p^{k-n/2-1-2s}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(s, E_{n/2+1}) = \zeta(2s) \zeta(2s-n+1) \\
&\times \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} L(1-n/2, \chi_{d_0}) |d_0|^{-s} \prod_p (1 - \chi_{d_0}(p) p^{n/2-1-2s}),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore, we easily see that $L(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi) = L(2s, S(h), \chi^2) L(2s-n+1, S(h), \chi^2) \\
&\times \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} |d_0|^{-s} c(|d_0|) \chi(d_0) L(1-n/2, \chi_{d_0}) \\
&\times \prod_p \{(1+p^{-2s+k-1} \chi(p)^2)(1+\chi_{d_0}(p)^2 p^{-2s+k-2} \chi(p)^2) \\
&\quad - \chi_{d_0}(p) p^{-2s+k-3/2} \chi(p)^2 \beta_p (1+p^{1/2-n/2} \beta_p^{-1})(1+p^{-1/2+n/2} \beta_p^{-1})\}
\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Sh1], Lemma 1.) Thus, by remarking the functional equation

$$L(1-n/2, \chi_{d_0}) = 2^{1-n/2} \pi^{-n/2} \Gamma(n/2) |d_0|^{(n-1)/2} L(n/2, \chi_{(d_0)}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} c_h(|d_0|) |d_0|^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} P(s, d_0, \{\iota_p\}, \chi) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i) \frac{2^{n/2-1} \pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} L(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}; \chi) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s-2i+n, S(h), \chi^2).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $d_0 \neq 1$, by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$P(s, d_0, \{\varepsilon_p\}, \chi) = 0.$$

Thus if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, for any $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{n/2})}$,

$$P(s, d_0, \{\varepsilon_p\}, \chi) = 0.$$

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$P(s, 1, \{\varepsilon_p\}, \chi) = \zeta(n/2) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2i + 1, S(h), \chi^2).$$

Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 4.2. \square

5 Relation between twisted K-M series of the first and second kinds

Let N be a positive integer. Let g be a periodic function on \mathbf{Z} with a period N and ϕ a polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_r . Then for an element $u = (a_1 \pmod{N}, \dots, a_r \pmod{N}) \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^r$, the value $g(\phi(a_1, \dots, a_r))$ does not depend on the choice of the representative of u . Therefore we denote this value by $g(\phi(u))$. In particular we sometimes regard a Dirichlet character mod N as a function on $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$.

For a Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}_{m>0}$, put

$$h(A, \chi) = \sum_{U \in SL_m(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})} \chi(\text{tr}(A[U])).$$

As was shown in [[K-M], Proposition 3.3], the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first kind of a Siegel modular form can be expressed in terms of $h(A, \chi)$ as stated later. Therefore we shall compute $h(A, \chi)$ in the case where A is an element of $\mathcal{L}_{m>0}$. For $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times m} \in S_m(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})$ and $c \in \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$, put

$$\mathcal{R}_N(A, c) = \{X = (x_{ij})_{m \times m} \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m a_{\alpha, \beta} x_{i\alpha} x_{i\beta} - c = 0$$

$$\text{and } \det X - 1 = 0\}.$$

Then we have

$$h(A, \chi) = \sum_{c \in \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}} \chi(c) \#(\mathcal{R}_N(A, c)).$$

From now on let p be an odd prime number and F_p be the field with p -elements. For $S \in S_m(F_p)$ and $T \in S_r(F_p)$ put

$$\mathcal{A}(S, T) = \{Y = M_{r, m}(F_p) \mid YS {}^t Y = T\}.$$

For an element $S \in S_m(F_p)$ with m even put $\chi(S) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p} \right)$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $S \in S_m(F_p)^\times$.

(1) Let $T \in S_r(F_p)$ with $m \geq r$.

(1.1) Let r be even. Then

$$\#A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} (1-\chi(S)p^{-m/2}) (1+\chi((-S)\perp T)p^{(r-m)/2}) \prod_{\substack{m-r+1 \leq e \leq m-1 \\ e \text{ even}}} (1-p^{-e})$$

or

$$\#A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{m-r+1 \leq e \leq m-1 \\ e \text{ even}}} (1-p^{-e})$$

according as m is even or odd.

(1.2) Let r be odd. Then

$$\#A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} (1-\chi(S)p^{-m/2}) \prod_{\substack{m-r+1 \leq e \leq m-1 \\ e \text{ even}}} (1-p^{-e})$$

or

$$\#A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} (1+\chi((-S)\perp T)p^{(r-m)/2}) \prod_{\substack{m-r+1 \leq e \leq m-1 \\ e \text{ even}}} (1-p^{-e})$$

according as m is even or odd. In particular, for $c \in F_p^\times$, we have

$$\#A(S, c) = p^{m/2-1} (p^{m/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p} \right))$$

or

$$\#A(S, c) = p^{(m-1)/2} (p^{(m-1)/2} + \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m+1)/2} c \det S}{p} \right))$$

according as m is even or odd.

(2) We have

$$\#A(S, 0) = p^{m/2-1} (p^{m/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p} \right)) + p^{m/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p} \right)$$

or

$$\#A(S, 0) = p^{m-1}$$

according as m is even or odd.

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from [[Kil], Theorem 1.3.2], and [[Kil], Lemma 1.3.1], respectively. \square

Proposition 5.2. Let $A = a_1 \perp \cdots \perp a_m$ with $a_i \in F_p$. For $c \in F_p^\times$ put

$$\mathcal{M}_p(A, c) = \{Z = (z_{ij}) \in S_m(F_p) \mid \det(Z) = 1 \text{ and } c - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i z_{ii} = 0\},$$

and

$$\gamma_{m,p} = p^{m^2 - m(m+1)/2} (1 - p^{-m/2}) \prod_{e=1}^{(m-2)/2} (1 - p^{-2e})$$

or

$$\gamma_{m,p} = p^{m^2 - m(m+1)/2} \prod_{e=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1 - p^{-2e})$$

according as m is even or odd. Then we have

$$\#\mathcal{R}_p(A, c) = \gamma_{m,p} \#\mathcal{M}_p(A, c).$$

Proof. Let $\Phi : GL_m(F_p) \rightarrow S_m(F_p) \cap GL_m(F_p)$ be the mapping defined by $\Phi(X) = X^t X$. Then by Lemma 5.1, we have $\#\Phi^{-1}(Z) = 2\gamma_{m,p}$ for any $Z \in S_m(F_p) \cap SL_m(F_p)$. We note that $\det X = \pm 1$ for any $X \in \Phi^{-1}(Z)$. Hence we have $\#(\Phi^{-1}(Z) \cap SL_m(F_p)) = \gamma_{m,p}$. Moreover we have

$$\mathrm{tr}({}^t X A X) = \mathrm{tr}(A X {}^t X),$$

and hence $X \in \mathcal{R}_p(A, c)$ if and only if $\Phi(X) \in \mathcal{M}_p(A, c)$. This proves the assertion. \square

We rewrite $\mathcal{M}_p(A, c)$ in more concise form. Let p be a prime number and l be a positive integer dividing $p - 1$. Take an l -th root of unity ζ_l and a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_l)$ lying above p . Let a be an integer prime to p . Then we have $a^{(p-1)/l} \equiv \zeta_l^i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ with some $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. We then put $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)_l = \zeta_l^i$. We call $\left(\frac{*}{p}\right)_l$ the l -th power residue symbol mod p . In the case $l = 2$, this is the Legendre symbol, and we write it as $\left(\frac{*}{p}\right)$ as usual. We note that this definition of the power residue symbol is different from the usual one, and depends on the choice of \mathfrak{p} and ζ_l except the case $l = 2$. We denote by $\left(\frac{*}{N}\right)$ the Jacobi symbol for a positive odd integer. Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor N . We assume that N is a square free odd integer, and write $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$ with p_1, \dots, p_r prime numbers. Put $l_j = l_{m,p_j} = \mathrm{GCD}(m, p_j - 1)$. For an r -tuple $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ of integers put

$$\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)} = \chi \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{*}{p_j}\right)_{l_j}^{i_j}.$$

For two Dirichlet characters χ and η mod N we define $J_m(\chi, \eta)$ and $I_m(\chi, \eta)$

$$J_m(\chi, \eta) = \sum_{Z \in S_m(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})} \chi(\det Z) \eta(1 - \mathrm{tr}(Z))$$

and

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = \sum_{Z \in S_m(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})} \chi(\det Z) \eta(\mathrm{tr}(Z)).$$

By definition, $J_m(\chi, \eta)$ is an algebraic number. We note that $J_1(\chi, \eta)$ is the Jacobi sum $J(\chi, \eta)$ associated with χ and η . We also define $J_m(\chi)$ as $J_m(\chi) = J_m(\chi, \chi)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let η be a primitive character mod p . Let $c \in F_p$ and $S \in S_l(F_p)$ of rank r . Let $S \sim S_0 \perp O_{l-r}$ with $\det S_0 \neq 0$. Put*

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in F_p^l} \eta(S[\mathbf{t}\mathbf{w}] + c).$$

Assume that r is odd, and that $\eta^2 \neq 1$. Then

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{l-(r+1)/2} J(\eta, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) \left(\frac{(-1)^{(r+1)/2} \det S_0}{p}\right) \eta(c) \left(\frac{c}{p}\right).$$

Assume that r is even, and that $\eta \neq 1$. Then

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{l-r/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{r/2} \det S_0}{p}\right) \eta(c).$$

Here we make the convention that $\left(\frac{(-1)^{r/2} \det S_0}{p}\right) = 1$ if $r = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{l-r} I_{\eta, S_0, c}.$$

Hence we may assume that $r = l$. Then

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \#A(S, u - c).$$

Let l be odd. Then by Lemma 5.1,

$$\#A(S, u - c) = p^{(l-1)/2} (p^{(l-1)/2} + \left(\frac{(-1)^{(l-1)/2} (u - c) \det S}{p}\right)).$$

Hence we have

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{(l-1)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(l+1)/2} \det S}{p}\right) \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left(\frac{u - c}{p}\right).$$

Since η^2 is nontrivial, we have $I_{\eta, S, c} = 0$ if $c = 0$. If $c \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left(\frac{u - c}{p}\right) &= \left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left(\frac{1 - c^{-1}u}{p}\right) \\ &= \eta(c) \left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(v) \left(\frac{1 - v}{p}\right) = \eta(c) \left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) J(\eta, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)). \end{aligned}$$

Let l be even. Then

$$\#A(S, u - c) = (p^{l/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right))p^{l/2-1} + p^{l/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right) a_0,$$

where $a_0 = 1$ or 0 according as $u = c$ or not. Hence

$$I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{l/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right) \eta(c).$$

□

Corollary. *Let $d \in F_p^\times$. Then we have*

$$I_{\eta, S, cd} = \eta(d) \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^r I_{\eta, S, c}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let η be a primitive character mod p . For $Z_1 \in S_{l-1}(F_p)$ and $z_u \in F_p$, put*

$$I(Z_1, z_u) = \sum_{w \in M_{l-1,1}(F_p)} \eta\left(\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ t & z_u \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

(1) *Assume that l is even, and that $\eta^2 \neq 1$. Then*

$$I(Z_1, z_u) = p^{(l-2)/2} J(\eta, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det Z_1}{p}\right) \eta(\det Z_1 z_u) \left(\frac{z_u}{p}\right).$$

(2) *Assume that l is odd, and that $\eta^2 \neq 1$. Then*

$$I(Z_1, z_u) = p^{(l-1)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(l-1)/2} \det Z_1}{p}\right) \eta(\det Z_1 z_u).$$

Proof. We note that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ t & z_u \end{pmatrix} = -\text{Adj}(Z_1)[w] + \det Z_1 z_u,$$

where $\text{Adj}(Z_1)$ is the $(l-1) \times (l-1)$ matrix whose (i, j) -th component is the (j, i) -th cofactor of Z_1 . We also note that $\det(-\text{Adj}(Z_1)) = (-1)^{l-1} (\det Z_1)^{l-2}$. Thus the assertion follows directly from Lemma 5.3 if $\det Z_1 \neq 0$. If $\det Z_1 = 0$, then $\text{rank}_{F_p}(Z_1) \leq 1$, the assertion follows also from Lemma 5.3. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let χ be a primitive character mod p . Let $l = \text{GCD}(m, p-1)$, and u_0 be a primitive l -th root of unity mod p . Let $A \in S_m(F_p)$.*

(1) *If $\chi(u_0) \neq 1$, then we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$.*

(2) *Assume that $\chi(u_0) = 1$. Fix a character $\tilde{\chi}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}^m = \chi$.*

(2.1) *Let m be even. Then*

$$h(A, \chi) = \gamma_{m,p} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} A_{m,i,p} \tilde{\chi}(i) (\det A) J_{m-1}(\overline{\tilde{\chi}(i)}),$$

where $A_{m,i,p} = p^{(m-2)/2}(-1)^{m(p-1)/4}J(\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}, \begin{pmatrix} * \\ p \end{pmatrix})$.

(2.2) Let m be odd and assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Then

$$h(A, \chi) = \gamma_{m,p} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} A_{m,i,p} \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}(\det A) J_{m-1}(\overline{\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}}),$$

where $p^{(m-1)/2}(-1)^{(m-1)(p-1)/4}$.

Proof. If $A = O_m$ then we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$. Hence we assume that $A \neq O_m$. Then we may assume that $A = a_1 \perp \cdots \perp a_{m-1} \perp d$ with $d \neq 0$. Put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c)$$

$$= \{(Z_1, w) \in S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p) \mid \det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i z_{ii}) \end{pmatrix} c^m = 1\}.$$

Write $Z \in S_m(F_p)$ as $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & z_m \end{pmatrix}$ with $Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)$, $w \in M_{m-1,1}(F_p)$, $z \in F_p$. Then the mapping $S_m(F_p) \ni Z \mapsto (c^{-1}Z_1, c^{-1}w) \in S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p)$ induces a bijection from $\mathcal{M}_p(A, c)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c)$, and hence $\#\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c) = \#\mathcal{M}_p(A, c)$. Put

$$K(A) = \sum_c \#\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c) \chi(c).$$

Assume that $\chi(u_0) \neq 1$. Then we have

$$K(A) = \sum_{c \in F_p} \chi(cu_0) \#\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, cu_0).$$

We note that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, cu_0) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c)$. Hence we have

$$K(A) = \chi(u_0) K(A).$$

Hence we have $K(A) = 0$.

Assume that $\chi(u_0) = 1$. Then we can take a Dirichlet character $\tilde{\chi}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}^m = \chi$. First assume that $\det A = 0$. Then we may assume that we have $A = A_0 \perp 0$ with $A_0 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)$. Let $P_{m-1,m}$ be the set of $(m-1) \times m$ matrices with entries in F_p of rank $m-1$. Then for each $X_1 \in P_{m-1,m}$ there exist exactly p^{m-1} elements $X_2 \in M_{1,m}(F_p)$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_m(F_p)$. Hence we have

$$h(A, \chi) = p^{m-1} \sum_{X_1 \in P_{m-1,m}} \chi(A_0[X_1]).$$

Let m be even. Then we can take an element $\alpha \in F_p^\times$ such that $\chi(\alpha) \neq 1$. Moreover we can take $U_0 \in GL_m(F_p)$ such that ${}^t U_0 U_0 = \alpha 1_m$ in view of (1.1) of Lemma 5.1. Hence

$$h(A, \chi) = p^{m-1} \sum_{X_1 \in P_{m-1,m}} \chi(A_0[X_1 U_0]) = \chi(\alpha) h(A, \chi).$$

Hence we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$. Let m be odd and assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Then we can take an element $\alpha \in (F_p^\times)^\square$ such that $\chi(\alpha) \neq 1$. Moreover we can take $U_0 \in GL_m(F_p)$ such that ${}^tU_0U_0 = \alpha 1_m$ in view of (1.2) of Lemma 5.1. Thus by the same argument as above we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$. This proves the assertion. Next assume that $\det A \neq 0$. We may assume that

$$A = 1_{m-1} \perp d$$

with $d = \det A$. Then we have

$$K(A) = \sum_c \#\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(A, c) \widetilde{\chi}(c^m).$$

Hence we have

$$K(A) = \sum_{(Z_1, w)} \overline{\widetilde{\chi}(\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix})},$$

where (Z_1, w) runs over elements of $S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p)$ such that

$$(*) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix} = u^m$$

with some $u \in F_p^\times$, and for such a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix}$, there exist exactly l elements v of F_p satisfying (*). We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)_l^i = l \text{ or } 0$$

according as $v = u^m$ with some $u \in F_p^\times$ or not. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(A) &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \overline{\widetilde{\chi}(\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix})} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix}}{p} \right)_l^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}(\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix}) \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$K(A)_i = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}(\det \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ {}^t w & d^{-1}(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1)) \end{pmatrix})$$

We note that $\widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}^2 \neq 1$ for any i . Hence by Proposition 5.4 we have

$$K(A)_i = A_{m,i,p} \sum_{Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(\det A) \overline{\widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(\det Z_1) \widetilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(1 - \text{tr}(Z_1))},$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^* = \tilde{\chi}_{(i)} \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{m-1}$. This proves the assertion if m is odd. Assume that m is even. Then it is easily seen that the set $\{\tilde{\chi}_{(i)} \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\}_{i=0}^{l-1}$ of Dirichlet characters coincides with $\{\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}\}_{i=0}^{l-1}$. Moreover $\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^2 \neq 1$ for any i . This proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$. Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod N . Let $u_{0,i}$ be a primitive l_i -th root of unity mod p_i . Let $A \in S_m(F_p)$.*

- (1) *If $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) \neq 1$ for some i . Then we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$.*
(2) *Assume that $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) = 1$ for any i . Fix a character $\tilde{\chi}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}^m = \chi$.*
(2.1) *Let m be even. Then we have*

$$h(A, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{m(p_i-1)/4} p_i^{(m-2)/2} \gamma_{m,p_i} \\ \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}(\det A) J(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N}\right)) J_{m-1}(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}).$$

- (2.2) *Let m be odd, and assume that χ^2 is primitive. Then we have*

$$h(A, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(m-1)(p_i-1)/4} p_i^{(m-1)/2} \gamma_{m,p_i} \\ \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}(\det A) J_{m-1}(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}).$$

Proof. We note that $J_m(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \prod_{i=1}^r J_m(\eta_1^{(p_i)}, \eta_2^{(p_i)})$ for primitive characters η_1 and η_2 mod N . Moreover η_j^2 is primitive if and only if $\eta_j^{(p_i)^2} \neq 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 5.5 and [[K-M], Lemma 3.2]. \square

Now we give explicit formulas for $J_m(\chi, \eta)$ and $I_m(\chi, \eta)$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let χ and η be primitive characters mod p . Assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Put $c_m(\chi, \eta) = 1$ or 0 according as $\chi^m \eta = 1$ or not.*

- (1) *Assume that m is odd. Then*

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = c_m(\chi, \eta) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-1)/2} (p-1) J_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right), \eta\right).$$

- (2) *Assume that m is even. Then*

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = c_m(\chi, \eta) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{m/2} p^{(m-2)/2} (p-1) \chi(-1) J\left(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right) J_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right), \eta\right).$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we have

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = I'_m \times \begin{cases} p^{(m-1)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{p} \right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ p^{(m-2)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-2)/2}}{p} \right) J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$I'_m = \sum_{\substack{z_{mm} \in F_p \\ Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times}} \chi(z_{mm}) \chi(\det Z_1) \left(\frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \eta(z_{mm} + \text{tr}(Z_1)) \left(\frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1}.$$

Then we have

$$I'_m = \sum_{\substack{z_{mm} \in F_p^\times \\ Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times}} \chi(z_{mm}) \eta(z_{mm}) \chi(\det Z_1) \left(\frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \eta(1 + z_{mm}^{-1} \text{tr}(Z_1)) \left(\frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1}.$$

Put $Y_1 = -z_{mm}^{-1} Z_1$. Then $\det Y_1 = (-1)^{m-1} z_{mm}^{1-m} \det Z_1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'_m &= \chi((-1)^{m-1}) \left(\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{p} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p^\times} \chi(z_{mm})^m \eta(z_{mm}) \sum_{Y_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times} \chi(\det Y_1) \left(\frac{\det Y_1}{p} \right) \eta(1 - \text{tr}(Y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p^\times} \chi(z_{mm})^m \eta(z_{mm}) = p - 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according as $\chi^m \eta$ is trivial or not. This proves the assertion. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let χ and η be as in Proposition 5.7.*

(1) *Assume that m is odd. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(\chi, \eta) &= \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{p} \right) p^{(m-1)/2} \\ &\times \{J(\chi, \chi^{m-1} \eta) J_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \eta) + \eta(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \eta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Assume that m is even. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(\chi, \eta) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{m/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)) \\ &\times \{J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \chi^{m-1} \left(\frac{*}{p} \right) \eta) J_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \eta) + \eta(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \eta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we have

$$J_m(\chi, \eta) = (J'_m + J''_m) \times \begin{cases} p^{(m-1)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{p} \right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ p^{(m-2)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-2)/2}}{p} \right) J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$J'_m = \sum_{\substack{z_{mm} \in F_p, z_{mm} \neq 1 \\ Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times}} \left(\frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(z_{mm}) \chi(\det Z_1) \eta(1 - z_{mm} - \text{tr}(Z_1)),$$

and

$$J''_m = \sum_{Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times} \left(\frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \chi(\det Z_1) \eta(-\text{tr}(Z_1)).$$

Then we have $J''_m = \eta(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right), \eta)$. Moreover

$$J'_m = \sum_{\substack{z_{mm} \in F_p, z_{mm} \neq 1 \\ Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times}} \chi(z_{mm}) \left(\frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(\det Z_1) \\ \times \eta(1 - z_{mm}) \eta(1 - (1 - z_{mm})^{-1} \text{tr}(Z_1)).$$

Put $Y_1 = (1 - z_{mm})^{-1} Z_1$. Then $\det Y_1 = (1 - z_{mm})^{1-m} \det Z_1$. Hence we have

$$J'_m = \sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p} \chi(z_{mm}) \left(\frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{1 - z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(1 - z_{mm})^{m-1} \eta(1 - z_{mm}) \\ \times \sum_{Y_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times} \left(\frac{\det Y_1}{p} \right) \chi(\det Y_1) \eta(1 - \text{tr}(Y_1)).$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 5.9. Let χ be a primitive character mod p .

(1) Let m be odd, and assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$.

(1.1) Assume that $\chi^m \neq 1$. Then

$$J_m(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-1)/2} J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^i, \chi^m) J_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi).$$

(1.2) Assume that $\chi^m = 1$. Then

$$J_m(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = p^{m-1} \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)^{i+1} J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)) J_{m-2}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p} \right)^i, \chi).$$

(2) Let m be even.

(2.1) Assume that $\chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1} \neq 1$. Then

$$J_m\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-2)/2} J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right) J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}\right) J_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi\right).$$

(2.2) Assume that $\chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1} = 1$. Then

$$J_m\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right) = \chi(-1)p^{m-1} J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right) J_{m-2}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right).$$

Proof. Let m be odd. Then, by (1) of Proposition 5.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_m\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-1)/2} \\ &\times \{J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^m\right) J_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi\right) + \chi(-1) I_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi\right)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion holds if $\chi^m \neq 1$. Assume that $\chi^m = 1$. Then by (2) of Proposition 5.8 and (2) of Proposition 5.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi\right) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-3)/2} J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right) \\ &\times J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^{m-1} \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i\right) J_{m-2}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m-1}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi\right) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-3)/2} p^{(m-3)/2} (p-1) \chi(-1) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{i+1} \\ &\times J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right) J_{m-2}\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^m\right) = -1$, $\chi(-1) = 1$ and

$$J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^{m-1} \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i\right) = J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \overline{\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i}\right) = \chi(-1) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i.$$

This proves the assertion.

Let m be even. Then, by (2) of Proposition 5.8, we have

$$J_m\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J\left(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)\right)$$

$$\times \{J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}) J_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi) + \chi(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi)\}.$$

Thus the assertion holds if $\chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1} \neq 1$. Assume that $\chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1} = 1$. Then by (1) of Proposition 5.7 and (1) of Proposition 5.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} \\ &\times J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi^{m-1}) J_{m-2}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{m-1}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J_{m-2}(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi).$$

We note that $J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^i, \chi^m \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}) = -1$, $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{i+1} = 1$ and

$$J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \chi^{m-1}) = J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}, \overline{\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)^{i+1}}) = \chi(-1) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{i+1} = \chi(-1).$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Corollary. *Let χ be a primitive character with an odd square free conductor N . Assume that χ^2 is primitive. Then the value $J_m(\chi)$ is nonzero.*

Proof. The assertion follows directly from the above theorem if N is an odd prime. In general case, the assertion can also be proved by remarking that $J_m(\chi) = \prod_{p|N} J_m(\chi^{(p)})$ and that $\chi^{(p)^2} \neq 1$ for any $p|N$. \square

To compare our present result with the result in [K-M], we give the following:

Proposition 5.10. *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod p . Assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Then we have*

$$J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right), \chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \bar{\chi}(4)p.$$

Proof. Put

$$I = \sum_{(z,w) \in F_p^2} \chi(z(1-z) - w^2).$$

Then by using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.5, we have

$$I = J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) \sum_{z \in F_p} \chi(z(1-z)) \left(\frac{z(1-z)}{p}\right)$$

$$= J(\chi, \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)) J(\chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right), \chi \left(\frac{*}{p}\right)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$I = \sum_{(y,w) \in F_p^2} \chi(-y^2 - w^2 + 1/4).$$

Hence by Lemma 5.3 we have

$$I = p \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \tilde{\chi}(4).$$

This proves the assertion. \square

By virtue of the above proposition, we see that Theorem 5.6 coincides with [K-M], Proposition 3.7 in case $m = 2$.

Now let

$$F(Z) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{n \geq 0}} c_F(A) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(AZ))$$

be an element of $\mathfrak{M}_k(Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}))$ and let χ be a Dirichlet character mod N . Assume $N \neq 2$. Then by [[K-M], Proposition 3.1], we have

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{n > 0} / SL_n(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{c_F(A) h(A, \chi)}{e(A) (\det A)^s}.$$

Thus by Theorem 5.6 we easily obtain:

Theorem 5.11. *Let $N, p_i, l_i, u_{0,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and χ be as in Theorem 5.6, and let F be an element of $\mathfrak{M}_k(Sp_n(\mathbf{Z}))$.*

- (1). *If $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) \neq 1$ for some i . Then we have $L(s, F, \chi) = 0$.*
- (2). *Assume that $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) = 1$ for any i . Fix a character $\tilde{\chi}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}^n = \chi$.*

(2.1) *Let n be even. Then we have*

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(n-2)(p_i-1)/4} \gamma_{n,p_i} \\ \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \overline{\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}(2^n) J(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N}\right)) J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})} L^*(s, F, \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}).$$

(2.2) *Let n be odd, and assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Then we have*

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(n-1)(p_i-1)/4} \gamma_{n,p_i} \\ \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \overline{\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}(2^{n-1}) J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)})} L^*(s, F, \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}).$$

6 Twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first kind of the DII lift

By Theorems 4.1 and 5.11, we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let k and n be positive even integers such that $n \geq 4$, $2k - n \geq 12$. Let $h(z)$ and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ be as in Section 4. Let N be a square free odd integer, and $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$ be the prime decomposition of N . For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $l_i = \text{GCD}(n, p_i - 1)$ and $u_{0,i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ be a primitive l_i -th root of unity mod p_i .*

- (1) *Assume $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_i) \neq 1$ for some i . Then $L(s, I_n(h), \chi) = 0$.*
- (2) *Assume $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_i) = 1$ for any i . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(s, I_n(h), \chi) &= 2^{ns} \overline{\tilde{\chi}(2^n)} \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} J(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N}\right)) \overline{J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})} \\
 &\times \{c_{n,N} R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s-2j, S(h), \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}^2) \\
 &\quad + d_{n,N} c_h(1) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s-2j+1, S(h), \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}^2)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{n,N}$ and $d_{n,N}$ are nonzero rational numbers depending only on n and N , and $\tilde{\chi}$ is a character s.t. $\tilde{\chi}^n = \chi$.

Remark. In the case $n = 2$, an explicit formula for $L(s, I_2(h), \chi)$ was given by Katsurada-Mizuno [K-M].

7 Applications

Let h_1 and h_2 be modular forms of weight $k_1 + 1/2$ and $k_2 + 1/2$, respectively, and χ be a Dirichlet character. In Section 2, we reviewed on the algebraicity of the values $\tilde{R}(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ at half integers. We then naturally ask the following question:

Question. What can one say about the algebraicity of $\tilde{R}(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ with m an integer?

As an application of Theorem 6.1, we give a partial answer to this question. We note that

$$R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = (1 - 2^{-2s+k_1+k_2-1} \chi^2(2))^{-1} \tilde{R}(s, h_1, h_2, \chi)$$

if the conductor of χ is odd. Hence it suffices to consider the above question for $R(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ with integer m if $k_1 + k_2$ is even.

Let k and n be positive even integers such that $n \geq 4$, $2k - n \geq 12$. Let $h(z)$ and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ be as in Section 4. For a Dirichlet character χ of odd square free conductor $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$, we define

$$R^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \overline{J(\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N}\right))} \overline{J_{n-1}(\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})} \\ \times R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s - 2j, S(h), \chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}^2),$$

where $l_i = \text{GCD}(n, p_i - 1)$ as in Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 7.1. *There exists a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -vector space $W_{h, E_{n/2+1/2}}$ in \mathbf{C} such that*

$$\frac{R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{mn}} \in W_{h, E_{n/2+1/2}}$$

for any integer $n/2 + 1 \leq m \leq k - n/2 - 1$ and a character χ of odd square free conductor such that χ^n is primitive.

Proof. Put

$$\mathbf{M}^{(\chi)}(s, S(h)) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \overline{J(\tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N}\right))} \overline{J_{n-1}(\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})} \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j + 1, S(h), (\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})^2).$$

Then by Corollary to Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}^{(\chi)}(m, S(h))}{\pi^{mn}} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}u_-(S(h))^{n/2} \pi^{-n^2/4}.$$

By Theorem 6.1, we have

$$L(m, I_n(h), \chi^n) \\ = 2^{nm} \overline{\chi(2^n)} \{c_{n, N} R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) + d_{n, N} c_h(1) \mathbf{M}^{(\chi)}(m, S(h))\}.$$

Hence by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\frac{R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{mn}} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}u_1 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} V_{I_n(h)} + \overline{\mathbf{Q}}u_2$$

with some complex numbers u_1 and u_2 , where $V_{I_n(h)}$ is the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -vector space associated with $I_n(h)$ in Theorem 2.2. This proves the assertion. \square

By the above theorem, we immediately obtain the following:

Theorem 7.2. Let $d > \dim_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} W_{h, E_{n/2+1/2}}$. Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d be integers such that $n/2+1 \leq m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d \leq k-n/2-1$ and $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_d$ be Dirichlet characters of odd square free conductors N_1, N_2, \dots, N_d , respectively such that χ_i^n is primitive for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Then the values $\frac{R^{(\chi_1)}(m_1, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{m_1 n}}, \dots, \frac{R^{(\chi_d)}(m_d, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{m_d n}}$ are linearly dependent over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Corollary. In addition to the notation and the assumption as above, assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Write N_i as $N_i = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} p_{ij}$ with p_{ij} an odd prime number, and let $l_{ij} = \text{GCD}(p_{ij}-1, n)$. Then the values $\left\{ \frac{R(m_i, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi_i(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ir_i}))}{\pi^{2m_i}} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq a_{i1} \leq l_{i1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq a_{ir_i} \leq l_{ir_i}-1}$

are linearly dependent over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. In particular, if $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_d$ are Dirichlet characters of odd prime conductors p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d , respectively such that χ_i^n is primitive for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, then the values $\left\{ R(m_i, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi \left(\frac{*}{p_i} \right)_{l_i}^{a_i}) \pi^{-2m_i} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq a_i \leq l_i-1}$ are linearly dependent over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, where $l_i = \text{GCD}(n, p_i - 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the value $\frac{\mathbf{L}_n(m, S(h), \chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}^2)}{\pi^{m(n-2)}}$ belongs to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} u_+(S(h))^{n/2-1} \pi^{-n^2/4+n/2}$, and in particular if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then it is nonzero for any χ . Moreover, by Corollary to Theorem 5.10, $J(\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, \left(\frac{*}{N} \right)) J_{n-1}(\chi_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)})$ is non-zero and belongs to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus the assertion holds. \square

As another application of Theorem 7.1, we also have a functional equation for $R^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2})$. Namely, by Theorem 3.1 we obtain:

Theorem 7.3. Let h be as above. Let χ be a primitive character of odd square free conductor N . Assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and that χ^n is primitive. Put

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = N^{2s} \tau(\chi^n)^{-1} \gamma_n(s) R^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}),$$

where $\tau(\chi^n)$ is the Gauss sum, and

$$\gamma_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-ns} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi^{(i-1)/2} \Gamma(s - (i-1)/2).$$

Then $\mathcal{R}^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2})$ has an analytic continuation to the whole s -plane, and has the following functional equation:

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\chi)}(k-s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = \mathcal{R}^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}).$$

Remark. (1) As functions of s , the Dirichlet series $\{R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi_{i(j)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq l_i-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{C} .

(2) In the case of $n = 2$, this type of result was given for $R(m, h, E_{3/2})$ with $E_{3/2}$ Zagier's Eisenstein series of weight $3/2$ by [K-M].

(3) The meromorphy of this type of series was derived in [Sh3] by using so called the Rankin-Selberg integral expression in more general setting, but we don't know whether the functional equation of the above type can be directly proved without using the above method.

References

- [B] S. Böcherer, Bemerkungen über die Dirichletreihen von Koecher und Maaß, Math. Gottingensis des Schrift. des SFB. Geometry and Analysis Heft **68** (1986).
- [B-S] S. Böcherer and R. Schulze-Pillot, The Dirichlet series of Koecher-Maaß and modular forms of weight $3/2$, Math. Z. 209(1992), 273-287.
- [C-K] Y. Choie and W. Kohnen, Special values of Koecher-Maaß series of Siegel cusp forms, Pacific J. Math. **198** (2001), 373-383.
- [D-I] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, A converse theorem and the Saito-Kurokawa lift. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 7, 347–355.
- [I] T. Ikeda, On the lifting of elliptic modular forms to Siegel cusp forms of degree $2n$, Ann. of Math. 154(2001), 641-681.
- [I-K1] T. Ibukiyama and H. Katsurada, An explicit formula for Koecher-Maass Dirichlet series for Eisenstein series of Klingen type. J. Number Theory 102(2003), 223-256
- [I-K2] T. Ibukiyama and H. Katsurada, An explicit formula for the Koecher-Maass Dirichlet series for the Ikeda lifting. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 74 (2004), 101-121
- [I-K3] T. Ibukiyama, H. Katsurada, Koecher-Maass series for real analytic Siegel Eisenstein series, in Automorphic Forms and Zeta Functions, Proceedings of the conference in memory of Tsuneo Arakawa, 170–197, World Scientific (2006)
- [Ka] H. Katsurada, On the special values of certain L-series related to half-integral weight modular forms, to appear in Springer Proceedings in Math. and Stat.
- [K-M] H. Katsurada and Y. Mizuno, Linear dependence of certain L-values of half-integral weight modular forms, J. London Math. 85(2012), 455-471
- [Ki1] Y. Kitaoka, Dirichlet series in the theory of Siegel modular forms, Nagoya Math. J. 95(1984), 73-84.
- [Ki2] Y. Kitaoka, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 106. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993
- [Ko] W. Kohnen, Modular forms of half-integral weight on $\Gamma_0(4)$, Math. Ann. 48(1980), 249-266.

- [Miz] Y. Mizuno, The Rankin-Selberg convolution for Cohen's Eisenstein series of half-integral weights, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* 75(2005),1-20.
- [Sai1] H. Saito, On L -functions associated with the vector space of binary quadratic forms. *Nagoya Math. J.* **130** (1993), 149–176.
- [Sai2] H. Saito, *Explicit formula of orbital p -adic Zeta functions associated to symmetric and Hermitian matrices*, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **46**(1997), 175-216.
- [Sh1] G. Shimura, The special values of the zeta functions associated with cusp forms, *Comm. pure appl. Math.* 29(1976), 65-76.
- [Sh2] G. Shimura, On the periods of modular forms, *Math. Ann.* 229(1977), 211-221.
- [Sh3] G. Shimura, The critical values of certain zeta functions associated with modular forms of half-integral weight, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 33(1981), 649-672.

Hidenori Katsurada
Muroran Institute of Technology,
27-1 Mizumoto, Muroran, 050-8585, Japan
e-mail: hidenori@mmm. muroran-it.ac.jp